

1 DR. BARD: If the court decides it is not
2 valid.

3 THE CHAIRMAN: If the court decides that it wasn't
4 valid law initially. The only thing that I would concede
5 that would change its status would be a repeal, and you
6 are not contemplating that in this Section, I take it.

7 DR. BARD: We were thinking here, this came up
8 at the Easton meeting.

9 THE CHAIRMAN: Is the phrase needed? When you
10 say in the phrase below, as not enacted into law, doesn't
11 that necessarily mean a valid law?

12 DR. BARD: You are probably right. It is not
13 needed.

14 JUDGE ADKINS: Could this mean a change in the
15 Supreme Court rules relating to the validity of the
16 divisions that occurred from the time of the enactment of
17 the law and the subsequent effective date of the next
18 election? Suppose they came out and said you had to be not
19 less than 1 per cent, and this was 3 per cent, is that what
20 it means?

21 DR. BARD: This is what we said at Easton.